ACTION COPY

VV ESA 3683 RA 1 33

00 RUESBA DE RUEHC #9889 2811942

ZNY CCCCC ZZH O 381932Z OCT 75 CANTILLEMENTIAL

I'M MEDIATE

FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES INMEDIATE 1958

BT CONFIDENTIAL STATE 239889

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, CASC, AR (TALAMANTE, OLGA)

SUBJECT: W/W ARREST: OLGA TALAMANTE

REF: B.A. 6635

ACTION TO ACT INF AMB DAG DCM LLGATT CEA FAS ECOM AID PO: /3 MILGP CO S P34 GSO BAF SCI CAUTIN

TO ANBASSADOR HILL FROM ASSISTANT SECRETARY ROGERS

1. IN MY SEPTEMBER 23 CONVERSATION WITH FORLEDO (STATE 233963), HE INDICATED HIS FULL AWARENESS OF DANGER THAT THE TALAMANTE CASE COULD REPRESENT FOR U.S.-ARGENTINE RELATIONS IF IT IS NOT RESOLVED PROMPTLY, AND PROMISED TO HAVE HER RELEASED WITHIN A FEW WEEKS QUOTE IF HER LAWYER AGREES TO DROP HER APPEAL. UNQUOTE. YOU SHOULD SEEK APPOINTMENT WITH ROBLEDO AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IN

ORDER TO EFFECT EARLY SOLUTION THIS TROUBLESOME CASE.

2. IT SEEMS TO ME ESSENTIAL THAT WE GET THE FACTS CLEAR WITH RESPECT TO THE QUESTION OF WHETHER APPEAL OF A VERDICT INTERFERES WITH POSSIBLE PAROLE AND/OR DEPORTATION PROCESS. BUENOS AIRES 6398 QUOTES DEFENSE ATTORNEY PEREZ AS SAYING THAT THE APPEAL WOULD ABSOLUTELY NOT AFFECT PAROLE/DEPORTATION PROCESS. ROBLEDD, ON THE OTHER HAND, INFORMED ME THAT THE AROLE AND DEPORTATION COULD TAKE PLACE ONLY. IF THE APPEAL WERE DROPPED. SINCE THIS IS A SIMPLE

QUESTION OF STANDARD ARGENTINE JUDICIAL PROCEDURE, IT SHOULD BE POSSIBLE TO GET A CLEAR CUT YES OR NO ANSWER.

3. I AM PUZZLED BY THE REPORT IN BUENOS ATRES 6685 THAT PEREZ IS NO LONGER OLGA'S DEFENSE ATTORNEY. IT APPEARS TO ME IMPERATIVE TO ASCERTAIN INMEDIATELY WHY (AND HOW) THIS STEP WAS TAKEN AND WHETHER OLGA DESIRES TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC DEFENDER OR WISHES EMBASSY ASSISTANCE IN BEGURING AMOTHER ATTORNEY. THIS MISHT BEST BE ACCOMPLISHED BY ANOTHER VISIT TO OLGA IN AZUL.

COURT SECRETARY HANSEN'S STATEMENT THAT THE REQUEST FOR PAROLE HAD NOT BEEN PRESENTED TO THE JUDGE BECAUSE OF THE REVOCATION OF PEREZ POWER OF ATTORNEY IS ALSO DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND. BUENOS AIRES 6396 OF SEPTEMBER 23 REPORTED THAT PEREZ HAD ALREADY REQUESTED OLGA'S PAROLE AND THAT HE EXPECTED IT TO BE CONSIDERED AND POSSIBLY DECIDED IN NEXT COUPLE OF DAYS. DOES THE SUBSEQUENT WITHDRAWAL OF ATTORNEY FROM APPEAL ACTION INVALIDATE A PREVIOUSLY FILED PAROLE REQUEST? COURT OF APPEALS AUTOMATICALLY TAKE JURISDICTION OVER PAROLE REQUEST? AN ACCURATE UNDERSTANDING OF THESE POINTS OF ARSENTINE LAW IS ESSENTIAL NOT ONLY TO RESPOND TO INCREASINGLY POINTED CONGRESSIONAL, PRESS AND OTHER PUBLIC INQUIRIES HERE BUT TO SUBSTANTIVE HANDLING OF THE CASE ITSELF.

5. I THINK IT IMPORTANT AT THIS JUNCTURE THAT YOU ASSUME PERSONAL CHARGE OF THIS CASE IN EFFORT OBTAIN EARLIEST SOLUTION ALONG LINES ROBLEDO INDICATED IN HIS CONVERSATION WITH ME. THIS WILL ENSURE THAT WE ARE PROVIDED ACCURATE AND COMPLETE INFORMATION ON ALL PERTINENT DEVELOPMENTS AS THEY OCCUR IN TALAMANTE CASE. KISSINGER BT

NNNN

Case: 17X= 52368 Date: eclassified -24-2018 蛇 ① 75 DEPARTMENT OF STATE INDICATE COLLECT CHARGE TO CLASSIFICATION Ambabasay Buenos Aires LUITED OFFICIAL USE E.O. 11652: a/e TAGS: CASC, CPRS, AR SUBJECT: Olca Talemante Case SecState, WASH BC - IMMEDIATE ACTION: (BA) LIMITED OFFICIAL USE: DUPNOS AIRES REPERENCE: BA 6817 for assistant secretary rocers from ambassador AHB. 1. The Hinister of Interior Angel ROBLEDO received me at DCM COMS 11:00 a.m., 10/15/75, and I made reference to your conver-SY POL sation with him on the case of Olya Talamante. I impressed CHRON upon him the need to resolve quidly this irritating case and urged that he do everything within his power to that end. 2. ROBLEDO said he was aware of the Talamente case but that it was still in the judiciary process as long as the appeal is pending. He said that if the attorney consented to drop the appeal, the deportation order could be implemented immediately. Otherwise, no executive action could be taken until the appeal decision is handed down. DCM Montllor, who accompanied me on the call, told DRAFTING DATE TEL. EXT. DRAFTED BY:

DCM: JJMontllor: op

10-15-75 180

CONTENTS AND CLASSIFICATION APPROVED BY:

CLEARANCES:

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

ETALEDID OF TELEVISION Page 2 tot BA-

lassification

Minister ROBLEDO of his conversation with Miss
Talomante on Monday, October 13, in Azul. At that time she
said that she wanted to continue with the appeal because
it still offered the possibility of an acquittal.
ROBLEDO said that he could and would contact the
Court of Appeals in La Plata to request that the appeal
process be expedited as much as possible, and would
inform us of the results of his call on Tiesday.

4. I told the Minister that the Embassy add the Department of State had received much criticism from some members of the U.S. Congress, and that I personally had received a threatening cable, as well as letters depicting Miss Talamante as being in a QUOTO fascist prison UNQUOTO. I assured the Minister that we were trying to avoid an orchestrated publicity campaign that could cause the GQA embarrasement if the Talamanto case were allowed to become a cause calebre.

Classification

5. I urged the Minister to find, if possible, a solution that need not wait until the end of the appeal decision. The Minister said that one such step could be to commute her sentence to time already served, followed by immediate deportation but this would have certain drawbacks as it would set a precedent that could encourage other foreigh subversives to come here and be subject to relatively light sentences for any wrong-doings. He said, however, that he would sonsult to see if there is any other legal means of deporting Miss Talamante if the appeal procedure should seem to be delayed.

HILL

Clasification

TELECIAM

INDICATE
GOLLECT
CHARGE TO

E.O. 11652:

Ambridately Buenos Aires

-LIMITED OFFICIAL COR

CLASSIFICATION

TAGS: SUBJECT: N/A CASC, CPRS, AR Olga Talamante Case

ACTION:

SecState Wash DC - IMPEDIATE

Jile

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE: BUENOS AIRES 6555

REPERENCES:

DCS COME SY CHRON

- (A) State 239889; (B) State 233968; (C) BA 6217
- 1. As reported in reftel C, DCM Mentllor and Congen Huey made round trip to Asul on October 13 and held conversations with Federal Judge Dante IPPOLITO, Miss Olga TALAMANTE and Defense Attorney Alfredo PEREZ ABRAMAN.
- 2. INTERVIEW WITH JUDGE IPPOLITO. The Judge at first seemed reluctant to discuss the Talamante Case which he had tried, explaining that the case was no longer within his jurisdiction. We pointed out that our interest was in getting certain conflicting facts straightened out so that the Embassy would know how to proceed in this case.
- 3. In reply to our question as to whether the appeal procedure interfered with a request for parole, Judgo

Ippolito answered affirmatively. He explained that

Congengonusy/DC4:JJHontllor: p 19-14-75 180

CONTENTS AND CLASSIFICATION APPROVED BY:

CLEARANCES:

there could be no consideration of parole until the appeal process was completed for the simple reason that the request for appeal made the sentence a provisional one until ratified, overturned or modified by the Appeals Court. In the absence of a definitive sentance, there is yet no definitive sentence from which a parole can be sought. We pointed out that this worked to the disadvantage of the defendant because the act of appeal denied the defendant the possibility of an early release on parola. The Judge said this was the inevitable effect of the appeal. He also said that he had sent the file in this case to the Federal Court of Appeals in the Provincial capital of La Plata and that while the file was under review and in the hands of that court, it could not be used for a simultaneous action in another court. The Judge informed us that he had forwarded the file with the appeal to the Court in La Plata on October 9, well after he had been informed by Miss Talamente that she and the other defendants had dropped Attorney Pérez Abraham as their legal representative. Thus her appeal at Ea Plata will be in the hands of a Public Dfense Attorney by the name of Antonio R. Sosa LIPRANDI who, without knowing the nine defendants in the common case, will work solely from the file.

Classification of Pictors usu

رَبِّ عِنْ ا

Page 3 91 BA- 1.1

Classification

5. The average delay before the Appeals Court renders a decision runs to about 45 days, but this may vary according to the workload of the Court and the complexity of the case under review. It is to be noted that the file of Niss Talamante forms an integral part of a case involving nine defendants. While the appeal case of each defendant is considered to be independent, all nine cases are reviewed at the same time.

In discussing the procedure to MMMEM the release and departure from the country of Miss Talamante, the Judge said that this was a matter of administrative decision by the Executive Power, handled in the Ministry of Interior. The Executive Power cannot intervene in the case as long as it is still in the judicial process, which includes an appeal. When the appeal decision is handed down, be it to sustain the conviction of the Lower Court or to account the defendant, the Executive will probably continue to hold the person under the State of Siege. Howover, if the Enistry of Interior so desires, it may invoke the deportation order which is now being held in the Immigration Department of the Ministry of Interior. Alternatively, the defendant may request the Executive Power on her own initiative to be allowed to depart the country under the conditions of Article 23 of the

LIMITEU OFFICIAL USE

Classification

LIMITED OFFICIAL USB 24 BA-

Classification

Constitution. In the case of Miss Talemante, she may personally write to the Minister of Interior and request expulsion as her option. Judge Ippolito stated that no attorney was necessary in this regard.

- 7. INTERVIEW WITH MISS TALAMANTE. We next visited Miss Telamante in the provincial jail. We conversed with her in the office of one of the prison officials but had to keep the door to the hall open so that the visitors could be in the line of sigt of a Natron. Miss Talamante was not in prison garb, having presumably been allowed to change before meeting us. She looked well and was in a good frame of mind.
- 8. We explained that we were in Azul to try to understand the status of her case and to assure ourselves that she also was aware of what was happening. We told her that the judge had informed us that as long as the appeal was under consideration, there could be no action on her conditional release under perole. We inquired whether she was aware of this. She replied in the affirmative but added that she did not know it at the time that she and the other eight defendants had decided to appeal. She also explained that her decision to appeal was shared by the other defendants because of their common position that they are not guilty and the appeal offered the possibility of acquittal. We asked her whother she wanted to

LIMITED OFFICIAL DOG

Classification

Classification

consider dropping her appeal in order to put in motion a request for parole, and she replied that she did not, and that she preferred to act in concert with the other eight defendants until the appeal was resolved. She said that if an appeal confirmed the sentence she would wish to leave Argentina either unbr the existing deportation order or the option of expulsion under Article 23. We indicated to her that we also wished to expedite her departure and that we would inform the Ambassador of her wishes.

- 9. We inquired from Miss Talamante as to the reasons for her having revoked the Power of Attorney that she had given to Dr. Pérez Abraham. She replied that she and the other defendants had found him to be incompetent. Asked to explain further, she said that the defendants thought that their case showed them to be not gailty but that the attorney had not put forth a very strong defense to prove them innocent.
- 10. Miss Talamente did not make any opecial requests of usn insofare as her case is concerned, since she is resigned to waiting out the time required for the Court of Appeals to hand down its decision. She did ask us, however, to send a message to her mother. We agreed to drafted do this and she decision our presence.
- 11. We asked Miss Talamante about her residence status in Argentina. She replied she had temporary residence at

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Classification

the time of her arrest, but that it had expired while she detention was in detention. We pointed out that to qualify for parole, the judge must be satisfied that she meets certain conditions imposed by law, among which are maintenance of residence within a specified area, and gainful employment. These conditions would be difficult to meet by a foreign national who is not a permanent resident. She appeared to understand this.

- 12. INTERVIEW WITH DEFENSE ATTORNEY PEREZ ABRAHAM.

 From the jail we want to the office of her former Defense
 Attorney. He told us that he was surprised at the enexplicable action taken by the defendants in revoking his
 Power of Attorney; this, however, should in no way affect
 the progress of the appeal procedure now in the hands of
 the Court of Appeals in La Plata. He said he was given
 no reason for the concerted action of the nine defendants
 but he commented that this case had political overtones
 beyond its judicial aspect.
- 13. We raised the question of his legal fees for the handling of the case for Miss Talamante. The attorney appeared to believe that the American officials who had visited her recently, including Robert Felder of the Department of State, had indicated the payment to him of the \$2,500 fee. He claimed he had previously also discussed this with Attorney Leonard Weinglass. We pointed

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Classification

out to him that the U.S. Government is in no way obligated to pay the defense attorney any fee inassuch as this is the responsibility of the defendant. The attorney replied that Miss Talamante does not have any resources in Arul. We suggested that he submit his bill to Attorney Veinglass in the United States for appropriate resolution.

- 14. CONCLUSIONS. It was Miss Talamente's personal decision to maintain her solidarity with the other eight codefendants and pursue the common appeal process in the knowledge that this resulted in her continued detention. She is now aware of the consequences of her decision.
- She no longer has an attorney and did not indicate 15. any desire to obtain a new one. She will rely, along with her codefendants, on public defender to pursue her appeal.
- 16. If the appeal results in acquittal, she will most Likely continue to be detained under the "state of siege" in the jurisdiction of the executive power. She will then have to request expulsion from the Republic, upder the terms of Article 23 or face deportation.
- 17. The decision of the Appeals Court may take as long as two months, perhaps more, depending on the number of cases on the docket. While the case is under appeal it is still under judicial review and not subject to intervention by the Executive Power.

COULTED OFFICIAL UCC

Classification

FS-413A INUATION SHEET

- 18. As an alien without resident status, Miss Talamante would not appear to meet the conditions of residence and employment required for parole eligibility. Consequently, deportation or expulsion seem to be the only avenues open to her if the conviction is upheld by the Appeals Court. These courses of action are exclusively within the competence of the Executive Power, acting through the Ministry of Interior.
- 19. TheEmbassy will pursue this case with the Ministry of Interior with a view toward deportation or expulsion at the time she is released from judicial jurasdiction.
 20. FYI. It is worthy of note that Judge Ippolito told us that he had never before handled a case of such complex nature, which involved a foreigner detained under the state of siege. Similar sentiments were voiced to Embassy officers by Attorney Thomas Doywitt of Brons
 Salas law firm in Buenos Aires, whom we have consulted on various occasions. Therefore, there is little precedent to guide us in reporting on possible future developments in this case. END FYI.
- 21. ADDENDUS. Ambassador has just been given appointment for call on Minister of Interior Robledo at 11:00 a.m.

 October 15. Fill raise Talamante case at that time.

HILL

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

14 JULY 75

COLLECT CHARGE TO

	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		CLASSIFICATIO	· N	I	
	Amendansy Burnos Atl	RES	URCLASSI	VIRD		
E.O. 11652: TAGS: SUBJECT:	H/A CASC, AR(TALAMANTE, Ambassador Asba Fore	Olga) rign Ministe	r to Releas	e Olga Talavante		
ACTION:	SecState, HASH DC - IMMEDIATE					
	UNCLASSIFIED: EURIOS	ATRES 46	15	>	trop 80	L
REVERENCE:	BA 4637					
AMB	1. During call on Foreign Minister Vignes July 12, 1975,					
DCH CONS	I told him of my serious concern over the continued detention					
POL	of U.S. Citizen Olga Talemente, errested last Hovember in					
POL/R ADMIN SY	Acul. I pointed out that she had been held for eight menths					
CERON	and that the judge hearing the case had not yet reached a					
	decision, perhaps due to delays stouding from the recent					
•	general strike.					
	2. I emphasized the need to resolve this case quickly by					
	liaving Kies Talmante leave Argentina as soon as possible before					
	this case poleoned the good relations between the United States					
	and Argeitina.					
	3. Vignes took note of my deep interest in this case and					
	sesured me that he would personally look into its current status					
	to see what he could	do to error	lita ita 🕶	min miles		
DRAFTED BY:	te.	DRAFTING DATE	TEL. EXT.	CONTENTS AND CLASSIFICA	TON APPROXE	D BY:
DCM: JJMont	Lloriep	7-14-75	180	AMRASSADOR BOBERT	C. HILL	

NW 52968 DocId:32735132 Page 14

CONS - Mr. Essy (per phone)

CLEARANCES:

ETMENT OF STATE

INDICATE COLLECT CHARGE TO

E.O. 11652: TAGS: Amenibassy Bürnos Aires

UNCLASSIFIED

CLASSIFICATION

N/A CASC, CPRS, AR SUBJECT: Olga Talamante Case

SecState, WASH DC ACTION:

FROM

6820 UNCLASSIFIED BUENOS AIRES

AHE DCH COHS CHRON ARA/APU FOR ROBERT FELDER

1. During fisit by DCM Montllor and Consul General Huey to Olga Talamante in Azul, 10/13/78, she requested that following message be transmitted to her mother Mrs. Refugio Talamante, 470 Gavilan Court, Gilroy, California 95020:

QUOTE. Dear Priends: Appeal procedure was started with my consent. It was necessary. Must wait for higher court decision (not more than six weeks) and then should be able to leavo.

2. Will write soon. Love and strength to all. Doing well. OLGA. UNQUOTE.

RILL

180

DRAFTED BY: DCH: JJMontlior: cp

CLEARANCES:

DRAFTING DATE TEL. EXT.

10-14-75

CONTENTS AND CLASSIFICATION APPROVED BY:

AMBASSADOR ROBERT C. HILL

ConGen - Mr. Huey

Buenos Aires, Argentina

June 23, 1975

Support Both

Und.

Mr. Leonard I. Weinglass
Attorney At Law
Suite 437A
University of Southern
California Law Center
University Park
Los Anges, California 90007

Dear Mr. Weinglass:

Your letter of May 30, 1975 addressed to Ambassador Robert C. Hill has just arrived.

The Ambassador is temporarily in the United States and will return to Buenos Airos in early July. I will be pleased to bring your letter to his immediate attention at that time.

Sincerely yours,

Joseph J. Montllor Charge d'Affaires a.i.

Charge: JJMontllor:cp X 180, 6-23-75

.cc: AMB's files

Leonard I. Weinglass

Attorney at Law

Suite 437A Univ. of So. California Law Center University Park Los Angeles, California 90007 (213) 748-6100

May 30, 1975

ROBERT C. HILL

Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary

American Embassy

Buenos Aires, Argentina

Re: Olga Talamante

Dear Ambassador Hill:

I am writing to convey the gratitude of myself, Mr. McCaughan, Olga and the Talamante family for the courtesies extended to us by you and your staff during my recent visit to Argentina. Mr. McCaughan and I were able to accomplish virtually everything we sought in terms of the factual investigation of Olga's case, thanks primarily to your cooperation and the active assistance of Consul General Huey and Mr. Wilkinson.

While appreciative of those efforts we were somewhat concerned about the inexplicable lack of information gathered by your staff in the previous six months. For instance, Olga's complaints of torture were never verified by an examination of the Court's records which in-

ROBERT C. HILL May 30, 1975 Page 2

cluded a medical statement that marks were found on her body. Furthermore, her attorney (although admittedly without a telephone) was never contacted to determine the nature of the case against Olga. He provided us with new information indicating strongly that the incriminating evidence was fabricated in her case. In short, in the brief week of our visit, with the assistance of the Embassy staff, we were able to develop information which strongly supported the fact that Olga had been tortured and probably unlawfully arrested and charged.

Nor was her present status fully appreciated or understood. When we arrived, the Embassy did not know if Olga would be detained pursuant to the Executive Power. That was quickly ascertained in an interview arranged by your office with the Ministry of the Interior. She will be. And her detention will continue beyond the July judicial release date, even with an outstanding deportation order against her.

Mr. Huey advises that he has received telephonic assurance from the Argentine Foreign Office that they will arrange Olga's release in July. As of now, this is the only assurance the family has; and, as Mr. Wilkinson explained in our last meeting, "actually, there are absolutely no assurances."

ROBERT C. HILL May 30, 1975 Page 3

I fully appreciate the distinction between diplomacy and legal advocacy, and I certainly don't expect the Embassy to become involved in the legal defense of an American abroad. However, it would appear that the separate issues of torture and an unlawful political arrest are legitimate concerns of the Embassy. Not being possessed of the facts surrounding those issues could only have served to inhibit the vigor with which the Embassy could have pursued Olga's release.

Since returning I have written to Senator Cranston and Congressman Mineta, as they requested I do so. My report included the above criticism as well as our appreciation for the assistance rendered to us.

While in Argentina we refused to talk to the Argentine press. However, we have discussed Olga's case with representatives of the American press. since returning to the United States. I also discussed her case with an American UPI correspondent in Buenos Aires.

Hopefully, Olga will be released in July. It would be extremely comforting to her family if more concrete assurances could be obtained by the Embassy on a release date in advance of July.

Again, I want to thank you for the personal

ROBERT C. HILL May 30, 1975 Page 4

interest you showed in the case and your taking time from a busy schedule to discuss it with Mr. McCaughangand myself.

Very truly yours,

LEONARD I. WEINGLASS

LIW/bi

NEO/ AMB

Nunda, N. _. 14517, June 22, 1975.

Er. Joseph Montllor, Charge d'Affires a. i., Embassy of the United States of Mun America. Buenos Aires, Argentina. . .

Dear Mr. Montllor:

Thank you very much for yours of the 6th in answer to my letter of May 19 to Ambassador Hill.

If I had known anything whatsoever of the circumstances leading to Ms. Talamante's arrest and imprisonment, I would have taken no interest in her case. It is just too bad that an American citizen should get mixed up in anything of the kind, in a foreign country. I hope she will get off with nothing worse than expulsion from Argentina.

Very Mincerely yours,

(Rev.) Lawrence P. Van St